

Wyre Forest District Council
Scrutiny Proposal Form

Name of Councillor:	D. R. RODWIN.
Subject Area to be Scrutinised:	ENERGY SUPPLY AND Power Generation Development + SECURITY
Rational: (reason for scrutinising the subject area)	Need better planning for small scale power generation
Evidence: (What evidence is there to support the rational and the need for the scrutiny review)?	eg White Paper. - lack of development space noted in County Council reports.
Key Outcomes: (What do you think the review should achieve)?	Specific plans in place for small scale power generation in the district

Please select from the list below which of the following is applicable to the subject area to be scrutinised:	
Poor performing service as evidenced by Performance Indicators etc?	NA
Highlighted in internal/external audit process?	NA
Identified as a key issue in the Sustainable Community Strategy?	YES.
Contributes to Corporate Plan Aims	YES.
Low levels of satisfaction with service as identified through public consultation.	NA.
Public Interest issue featured in the local media	NOT YET!
Significant budgetary commitment to service	None at the moment.
History of overspends	NA.
Contributes to Central Government Priority / legislation.	YES
New Legislation / good practice expected within the next year.	NOT KNOWN
Officer led review planned / being conducted - changes anticipated	NOT KNOWN

Would it be possible to have the County Council Consultants give a report and presentation to DC.?

D. Rodwin
28.10.09

Scoring:

Once received the form would then be subject to scoring, by the Chairman of the Committee and officers, before being presented to the relevant Scrutiny Committee for consideration.

It is proposed that the following points mechanism be put in place in order to prioritise suggestions for scrutiny reviews:

Improvement area as evidenced by Performance Indicators etc?	10 points
Identified as a key issue in the Sustainable Community Strategy?	7 points ✓✓
Contributes to Corporate Plan Aims	7 points ✓✓
Low levels of satisfaction with service as, identified through public consultation e.g. petitions, complaints etc.	6 points
Highlighted in internal/external audit process	5 points
Public Interest issue featured in the local media	5 points
History of overspends	3 points
Contributes to Central Government Priority / legislation.	2 points ✓✓
New Legislation / good practice expected within the next year.	-3points ✓✓
Officer led review planned / being conducted - changes anticipated	- 4 points
Reviewed within the last 3 years and no significant changes.	- 5 points
Suitable for the Member Information Bulletin or Member briefing session	- 5 points ✓

The maximum possible scoring for any scrutiny review would be 45 points. -24

Once scored the proposal would then be put into the priority grid:

Red Zone	Priority Topics for Overview and Scrutiny (to be actioned within 4 months)	30 to 45 points
Amber Zone	Possible Topics for Overview and Scrutiny (to be actioned at the discretion of the Chairman within 12 months)	16 to 30
Green Zone	Not a topic for Overview and Scrutiny	0 to 15