

WYRE FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL**PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**8<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2013****ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS**

REFERENCE NO.	PAGE	ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS
<b>PART B</b>		
12/0650/FULL	17	The <u>Applicant</u> has submitted additional information relating to the existing parking area to the rear of the dwelling. It is proposed that the existing driveway would be fenced off following the successful construction of the new one. This would then result in the development according with the requirements of the Local Transport Plan 3. The applicant has also stated that the primary reason for the proposed vehicular access to the front is to take advantage of the substantially quieter nature of the Stourbridge Road in terms of traffic flows relative to the Wolverhampton Road and that visibility tends to be better as fewer cars are parked on street.
12/0697/FULL	20	<u>Corrections</u> – There is a missing word at the 4 <sup>th</sup> line of paragraph 4.3, which should read: “... in accordance with Policy SAL.SK1(a) ....”  The second sentence of paragraph 4.5 should be amended to read: “Given the separation distances and the fact that Worcestershire Regulatory Services do not object to the proposal on noise grounds, Officers are happy that the amenity of neighbours, due to potential noise pollution, would not be compromised”.
12/0756/FULL	26	<u>Stourport on Severn Town Council</u> – No objection subject to completion of highway works ( <i>Officer Comment – This variation is purely in respect of noise assessment and does not alter the condition in respect of completion of highway works</i> )  <u>Worcestershire Regulatory Services</u> – The post completion testing of noise confirms that the identified dwellings will comply with the condition concerned; no objections

REFERENCE NO.	PAGE	ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS
		<p><u>Officer Comment</u> - Consultation with neighbours is ongoing</p> <p><u>Corrections</u> –  Proposal description at the head of the report refers to “noise alteration”, when in fact this should read “noise attenuation”. Therefore, description should be amended to read: “Variation of condition 7 of 10/0321/OUTL to allow occupation of plots 5 to 12 prior to noise attenuation works being completed”.</p> <p>The 4<sup>th</sup> sentence of paragraph 4.2 appears to contradict itself, and should read: “Although some of the previously agreed works have been implemented, due to legal matters these works have not, as yet, been completed in their entirety.”</p> <p><u>Change Recommendation</u> -  <b>DELEGATED APPROVAL</b> subject to completion of neighbour consultation exercise and no new issues being raised</p>
12/9052/NMA	30	<p><u>Correction</u> –  At paragraph 4.4, the 1<sup>st</sup> sentence should read: “As Members may be aware, planning permission was granted in April 2012 for a new hockey pitch at Stourport Sports Club”.</p>